


Research

Violations of the Code in Argentina: analysis of promotions, advertising and labeling of commercial milk formula and complementary foods products

Evelyn Gitz, MSc, PhD¹ ^a

¹ Global Health Advocacy Incubator

Keywords: Breastfeeding, International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes, Formula Milk, Complementary Food, Commercial Milk Formula, Commercial Complementary Food, Compliance, Advertising, Promotion, Labeling

<https://doi.org/10.26596/wn.20231423-12>

World Nutrition 2023;14(2):3-12

Breastfeeding has unique benefits for the child and the mother, and its decline may be one of the most significant mass phenomena in infant feeding. While there are several causes, standing out among them is the marketing practices of commercial milk formula (CMF) and commercial complementary foods (CCF). This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted using qualitative and quantitative methodology, between August 2018 and August 2020. Regarding the promotion, advertising and labeling of CMF and CCF, none of the companies in Argentina at the time complied with the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes or the related laws in force in the country. The multiple forms of violation found emphasize the need to establish and strengthen monitoring and enforcement mechanisms to curb abuses by the CMF and CCF industry in Argentina, safeguarding the rights of mothers, families, and their newborns. Likewise, the Government must lead the political process and adapt its regulatory framework in terms of transparency and conflict of interest, as well as generate comprehensive and intersectoral public policies on the protection of breastfeeding.

INTRODUCTION

Despite its unique benefits and its exclusive recommendation up to 6 months of age, breastfeeding is in decline worldwide (Victora et al. 2016), probably one of the most important mass phenomena of change in infant feeding. Commercial milk formula (CMF) sales have increased to about US\$55 billion annually, with more children receiving formula products than ever (Rollins et al. 2023). Its decline is influenced by several causes, including CMF marketing practices (Palmer 1993). While pro-breastfeeding policies and programs can help to mitigate the problem, they are unlikely to fully reverse the impact of marketing of CMF (Piwoz and Huffman 2015; Pérez-Escamilla et al. 2023; Baker et al. 2021). The transition for infants and young children to diets that are higher in CMF has accelerated in recent decades, alongside rapid growth of ultra-processed food markets (Baker et al. 2021; Neves et al. 2021; Baker et al. 2020; FAO 2019). This dietary change raises serious concerns for human and planetary health (Victora et al. 2016; Baker et al. 2021; Jelliffe 1972; Baker et al. 2023).

These clear violations and lack of accountability by CMF companies continue to knowingly and regularly defy the

principles and recommendations of the Code (Rollins et al. 2023).

Advertising and promotion strategies are used for CMF that appeal to people's subjective and unconscious issues - such as the manipulation of knowledge and evidence related to breastfeeding and CMF (Rollins et al. 2023). WHO defines promotion as "marketing activities other than advertising, personal selling, and publicity that stimulate consumer purchases at point-of-sale" (WHO 2012). In-store promotion includes giveaways, samples and price reductions (as different from standard pricing) (UNICEF 2019). Material and economic support of different types of events, congresses and activities of scientific societies or medical professionals, create conflicts of interest (Rollins et al. 2023).

The International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes (hereinafter referred to as the Code) represents the international policy framework to protect breastfeeding against inappropriate and unethical marketing practices from manufacturers and distributors of products under the scope of the Code. The Code was adopted in 1981 by the WHA, the World Health Assembly (WHO 1981). It is regularly updated through WHA resolutions, and those should be considered part of the Code (WHO 2017). Ensuring compliance with the Code is thus a major way of avoiding inap-

a Corresponding author: evelynnitz@gmail.com

appropriate marketing of CMF and commercial complementary foods (CCF) and promoting breastfeeding.

The Code (again, together with subsequent relevant resolutions of the WHA), applies to all products that are marketed or represented as a suitable partial or total replacement of breastmilk, including any milk products marketed for feeding infants and young children up to 3 years of age, other foods and beverages marketed as suitable for feeding infants less than 6 months or for feeding in a bottle. The Code also applies to feeding bottles and teats, and complementary foods marketed for children up to 3 years of age.

The Code prohibits all forms of promotion of these products, including advertising and the distribution of free samples. Product labels should not promote nutritional or health benefits, nor include images that idealize CMF. In this sense, the internationally accepted definition of food labels is “any tag, brand, mark, pictorial or other descriptive matter, written, printed, stenciled, marked, embossed or impressed on, or attached to, a container of food” (FAO and WHO 2007).

The Ministry of Health of Argentina (2023) and the Argentine Society of Pediatrics (2019) recommend exclusive breastfeeding until 6 months of age and continued breastfeeding for at least 2 years, with adequate complementary foods being introduced at 6 months. Yet, according to the National Nutrition and Health Survey conducted in 2018-2019 in Argentina, only 43.7% of children under 6 months of age were exclusively breastfed the day before the survey (Secretariat of Health of Argentina 2019).

In Argentina, the Code was accepted by a resolution of the Ministry of Health and Social Action in 1997 (Ministry of Health and Social Action of Argentina 1997) and, ten years later, it was partially included in the Argentine Food Code (ANMAT 2023), through the joint resolution 97/2007 and 301/2007 (Secretariat of Regulatory Policies and Health Relations and Secretariat of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Food 2007). By including the Code in the Argentine Food Code, all articles have been incorporated into its text except articles 6 and 7 concerning institutions and health professionals, since this would exceed the scope of food regulations. However, these articles referring to the health system were included in the previous resolution from 1997 mentioned above, although such resolution has less legal status than a law.

Furthermore, in 2005, ANMAT signed a resolution that establishes the general norms for food advertising, stating that “Foods contemplated in the International Code of Marketing of Substitutes of breast milk of the WHO must comply with what is established therein” (ANMAT 2005).

In 2013, the Law 26.873 for the Promotion and Public Awareness of Breastfeeding, was approved, which mentions among other objectives “to disseminate the Code in accordance with the provisions of the Argentine Food Code and its complementary regulations” (Ministry of Health of Argentina 2013). This law was passed in 2015 (Ministry of Health of Argentina 2015), and states that the scope of the Code is up to 24 months of age of the child.

Despite this strong legal framework in support of the Code, there has been little research on implementation in

practice. Thus, the objective of the present study was to detect violations of the Code regarding the promotion, advertising and labeling of CMF and CCF.

METHODS

In accordance with practice suggested in a recent series of relevant article in the *Lancet*, this paper uses the term CMF instead of “breastmilk substitute” to highlight the artificial and ultra-processed nature of these products, underlining the irreplaceable status of breastmilk (Rollins et al. 2023). The terms breastfeeding and woman are used as generic terms, although it is recognized that not all breastfeeding individuals identify themselves as women (Pérez-Escamilla et al. 2023).

This was a descriptive, semi-longitudinal study conducted using qualitative and quantitative methodology. It was descriptive because it detailed Code violations regarding the promotion, advertising and labeling of CMF and CCF intended for consumption by children under 24 months of age, and in a sense semi-longitudinal because the identical variables were studied over a given period of time. It was also correlational, since, in addition to analyzing each variable, the interrelationship between some of them was considered to discover and reveal these relationships. The methodology was qualitative, as the technique of content analysis of promotions, advertisements, and labels of CMF and CCF was applied, and quantitative, because the data collected were tabulated in a database and analyzed according to the number, frequency, and percentage of occurrence of non-compliance with the Code.

DELIMITATION OF THE STUDY AND DATA COLLECTION

Regarding the promotion and advertising of CMF and CCF, compliance with the Code and the law in force in Argentina was monitored on the websites of the companies and websites of discounts (Hotsale and Cybermonday), in the most popular digital newspapers and magazines in the country (“Oh lala”, “La Nación” and “Infobae”), in the online reports of congresses for pediatricians, and in the most commonly used social networks in Argentina (Instagram and Facebook), in the period between August 2018 and August 2020. Likewise, this analysis was carried out in supermarkets and pharmacies in the Autonomous City of Buenos Aires (CABA), both in the online purchase option and in several personal visits.

Regarding the labeling, during a visit to at least one from each of the major chains of supermarkets (12) and pharmacies (13) in CABA, all 37 available CMF and CCF products labeled for and to children younger than 2 years old, were photographed to analyze the information contained on the labels and identify possible non-compliance.

Information was collected through structured observation, and it was documented through photographic capture (in person) and computer screen capture (virtual). The collected information was transferred to an Excel spreadsheet, and it was analyzed using three different forms for each aspect examined and adapted from the NetCode protocol for




Figure 1. Distribution of promotions of CMF and CCF in each point of sale and by company

periodic evaluations (WHO and UNICEF 2017) and considering what is regulated by the Code and the law in force in the country.

RESULTS

At the time of the study, it was observed that there were six companies producing and selling CMF and CCF (Nutricia Bagó, Nestlé, Mead Johnson, Roemmers, SanCor and La Serenísima), and most of them own more than one brand. (These production and marketing companies are the ones surveyed at the time of the investigation, although there may have been subsequent mergers and/or acquisitions of these companies by other corporations.)

PROMOTIONS

220 promotions of CMF and CCF were found on websites, social networks (Instagram and Facebook), congresses, supermarkets, and pharmacies in CABA. [Figure 1](#) shows the number of promotions of CMF and CCF for each company at each point of sale. Most promotions were carried out in pharmacies and supermarkets.

The photographs in [Figure 2](#) exemplify the violations mentioned before.

ADVERTISING

[Figure 3](#) summarizes the number of advertisements of CMF and CCF found per company. In total, 3 advertisements were found on Facebook, 6 on newspaper and magazine websites and 5 on YouTube.

The photographs in [Figure 4](#) exemplify the violations mentioned before.

LABELING

COMMERCIAL MILK FORMULA

All CMF labels were - in different ways - non-compliant with the Code ([Table 1](#)).


Nutrilon (Nutricia Bagó) and La Serenísima Baby (La Serenísima) mention in the “Important notice” box that “when breastfeeding is not possible or is insufficient, the pediatrician is the best person to advise on the type of food to give”. This message may discourage breastfeeding.

The image in [Figure 5](#) exemplifies the non-compliance with the Code and the law in force in the country by the CMF “Enfabebe” (Mead Johnson and SanCor), mentioning on the front of the package that “its formula boosts mental development, helps strengthen the immune system, favors digestion”, among other things, making unsubstantiated health claims. The objective of such claims and this type of terminology is to add authority and create the false impression that there is a strong body of scientific evidence in support of the claims, with little effort made to establish the strength of evidence itself (Rollins et al. 2023; Baker et al. 2021).

5 of 9 CMF packages contained images that go beyond the illustration of the preparation method or product identification, such as illustrations of toys, animated characters, and mascots. These were: Vital (Nutricia Bagó), Enfabebe (Mead Johnson and SanCor), La Serenísima Baby (La Serenísima), Sancorbebe (SanCor) and Nutribaby (Roemmers).

Another strategy that was found in all the CMF was cross-promotion. WHO defines cross-promotion as “a consumer sales promotion technique in which the manufacturer attempts to sell the consumer new or other products

a. CMF and CCF promotions found in the online store of a pharmacy ("Pedidosfarma")



b. CMF promotions found in the supermarket "Carrefour"



Figure 2. Examples of promotions detected that violate the Code and the law in force in Argentina.

related to a product the consumer already uses or which the marketer has available" (WHO 2010). WHO has pointed out that "this can include packaging, branding and labelling of a product to closely resemble that of another (brand extension)" (WHO 2016a). WHA resolution 69.9 explicitly states that follow-on formula for infants up to 36 months of age are substitutes for breastmilk (WHO 2016b). These follow-on formulas are unsuitable for feeding infants under 6 months of age but are promoted with labels that resemble those of infant formula. This situation leads to confusion, inappropriate use and can discourage exclusive breastfeeding in the first six months of life. Two concrete examples of cross-promotion can be seen in [Figure 6](#).

COMMERCIAL COMPLEMENTARY FOODS

All CCF labels examined were non-compliant with the Code and Argentinian law ([Table 2](#)).

DISCUSSION

This research established that the companies Nutricia Bagó, Nestlé, Roemmers, La Serenísima, Mead Johnson and

SanCor, related to the commercialization of CMF and complementary foods, do not comply with the Code and the law in force in Argentina. This situation of non-compliance is likely one cause of the low prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding in the country.

CMF marketing is a multi-faceted, sophisticated, well-resourced and powerful influencing system that generates demand and sales of products at the expense of the health and rights of families, women and children (Rollins et al. 2023).

Internationally, despite obvious conflicts of interest, CMF industry observers are permitted to actively participate in meetings of the Codex Alimentarius standard-setting process, which provides access to national policy makers and compromises the setting of standards for CMFs (Rollins et al. 2023; Russ et al. 2021; Boatwright et al. 2021). Perhaps due to political pressure from those who demand market freedoms without limits, in our study in Argentina we found an indecisive State, failing to monitor and implement the Code or even its own laws regarding the promotion and protection of optimal infant feeding practices.

While the present study provides information regarding on Code violations in the promotion, advertising and label-




Figure 3. Distribution of advertisements of CMF and CCF by company and by product




Figure 4. Examples of advertisements detected that violate the Code and the law in force in Argentina.

ing of CMF and CCF in Argentina, it is limited in that it is only a snapshot of reality. Nevertheless, we believe it to be reliable and lacking in selection bias for the time period covered.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study indicates a need to strengthen the political will and the capacity of the relevant Argentinian authorities to monitor compliance with the Code and to establish mechanisms for monitoring and enforcement of current regulations, to curb abuses by the CMF and CCF industry, and to safeguard the rights of mothers and their

Table 1. Non-compliance with the Code and the law in force in Argentina on labels of CMF

Company	Brands	Health and nutrition claims	Text discouraging breastfeeding or idealizing the use of infant formula	Picture of infant and/or words or pictures idealizing artificial feeding	No warning that the product should only be used if a health worker considers it necessary	Absence of warning that they should be prepared on the advice of health personnel on proper use
Nutricia Bagó	Nutrilon	✓	✓		✓	✓
	Vital	✓		✓	✓	✓
Nestlé	Nan	✓	✓		✓	✓
	Nidina	✓	✓		✓	✓
	Nest	✓			✓	✓
Mead Johnson and SanCor	Enfabebe	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Roemmers	Nutribaby	✓		✓	✓	✓
SanCor	Sancorbebe	✓		✓	✓	✓
Mastellone Hnos	La Serenísima Baby	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓



Figure 5. Example of the label of the CMF EnfaBebé 1 that violate the Code and the law in force in Argentina.

newborns to adequate information on feeding methods so that they can make truly informed decisions in this regard.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was done as part of the author’s PhD Thesis in Public Health in the postgraduate department of the University of Business and Social Sciences (UCES). Its process was completed in October 2020 and the thesis was defended in March 2021. Also, the bibliography was updated with the new Lancet Series on Breastfeeding, published in 2023.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

Submitted: May 02, 2023 BRT, Accepted: June 21, 2023 BRT

Table 2. Non-compliance with the Code on labels of CCFs

Company	Brands	Health and nutrition claims	Absence of age of use	Absence of the legend "Important Notice" or similar.	Text discouraging breastfeeding or idealizing the use of infant formula	Picture of infant and/or words or pictures idealizing artificial feeding	Lack of specification that Breastfeeding is superior	Contains images that go beyond the illustration of the method of preparation or the identification of the product	No warning that the product should only be used if a health worker considers it necessary	Absence of warning that they should be prepared on the advice of health personnel on proper use
Nutricia Bagó	Nutrilon Profutura Cereals	✓							✓	✓
Nestlé	Nestle porridge							✓	✓	✓
	Nestum	✓						✓		
	Gerber				✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
Roemmers	Nutribaby - fruit puree	✓		✓			✓	✓	✓	
SanCor	Sancor First Flavours	✓		✓		✓		✓	✓	
Mastellone Hnos	Danonino		✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓



Figure 6. Examples of the labels of the CMFs Nutrilon (Nutricia Bagó) and Nan (Nestlé) that violate the Code and the law in force in Argentina.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-4.0). View this license's legal deed at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0> and legal code at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode> for more information.

REFERENCES

- ANMAT. 2005. "Resolution 4980/2005. Advertising of over-the-Counter Products General and Specific Rules." 2005. [http://www.anmat.gov.ar/webanmat/Le gislacion/NormasGenerales/Disposicion_ANMAT_498 0-2005.pdf](http://www.anmat.gov.ar/webanmat/Le%20gislacion/NormasGenerales/Disposicion_ANMAT_4980-2005.pdf).
- — —. 2023. "Argentine Food Code. Law 18.284. Standards for Production, Processing, and Circulation of Food for Human Consumption throughout the Country." 2023. [https://www.argentina.gob.ar/anmat/ codigoalimentario](https://www.argentina.gob.ar/anmat/codigoalimentario).
- Baker, Phillip, Priscila Machado, Thiago Santos, Katherine Sievert, Kathryn Backholer, Michalis Hadjikakou, Cherie Russell, et al. 2020. "Ultra-Processed Foods and the Nutrition Transition: Global, Regional and National Trends, Food Systems Transformations and Political Economy Drivers." *Obesity Reviews* 21 (12): 13126. [https://doi.org/10.111 1/obr.13126](https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.13126).
- Baker, Phillip, Katheryn Russ, Manho Kang, Thiago M. Santos, Paulo A. R. Neves, Julie Smith, Gillian Kingston, et al. 2021. "Globalization, First-Foods Systems Transformations and Corporate Power: A Synthesis of Literature and Data on the Market and Political Practices of the Transnational Baby Food Industry (Version 1)." Deakin University. [https://hdl.h andle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30151754](https://hdl.handle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30151754).
- Baker, Phillip, Julie P Smith, Amandine Garde, Laurence M Grummer-Strawn, Benjamin Wood, Gita Sen, Gerard Hastings, et al. 2023. "The Political Economy of Infant and Young Child Feeding: Confronting Corporate Power, Overcoming Structural Barriers, and Accelerating Progress." *The Lancet* 401 (10375): 503–24. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(22\)0193 3-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(22)01933-x).
- Boatwright, Monique, Mark Lawrence, Cherie Russell, Katheryn Russ, David McCoy, and Phillip Baker. 2021. "The Politics of Regulating Foods for Infants and Young Children: A Case Study on the Framing and Contestation of Codex Standard-Setting Processes on Breast-Milk Substitutes." *International Journal of Health Policy and Management* 11 (11): 2422–39. <http://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.2021.161>.
- FAO. 2019. "Ultra-Processed Foods, Diet Quality, and Health Using the NOVA Classification System." 2019. [https://www.fao.org/fsnforum/index.php/resources/tr ainings-tools-and-databases/ultra-processed-foodsdi et-quality-and-health-using-nova](https://www.fao.org/fsnforum/index.php/resources/trainings-tools-and-databases/ultra-processed-foodsdiet-quality-and-health-using-nova).
- FAO and WHO. 2007. "Codex Alimentarius Commission. Strategic Plan 2008-2013." *Food & Agriculture Organization*. [https://www.fao.org/3/a1384e/a1384e0 0.pdf](https://www.fao.org/3/a1384e/a1384e00.pdf).
- Jelliffe, D. B. 1972. "Commerciogenic Malnutrition?" *Nutrition Reviews* 30 (9): 199–205. [https://doi.org/1 0.1111/j.1753-4887.1972.tb04042.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.1972.tb04042.x).
- Ministry of Health and Social Action of Argentina. 1997. "Resolution 54/1997. Breast Milk Substitutes." [http://www.argentina.gob.ar/normativa/nacional/resoluc i%C3%B3n-54-1997-43752](http://www.argentina.gob.ar/normativa/nacional/resolucionC3%B3n-54-1997-43752).
- Ministry of Health of Argentina. 2013. "Law 26.873. Breastfeeding. Promotion and Public Awareness." 2013. [https://www.argentina.gob.ar/normativa/nacio nal/ley-26873-218212/texto](https://www.argentina.gob.ar/normativa/nacional/ley-26873-218212/texto).
- — —. 2015. "Decree 22/2015. Regulation of Law 26.873. Promotion and Public Awareness of Breastfeeding." 2015. [https://www.argentina.gob.ar/n ormativa/nacional/decreto-22-2015-240799/texto](https://www.argentina.gob.ar/normativa/nacional/decreto-22-2015-240799/texto).
- — —. 2023. "Breastfeeding." 2023. [https://www.argenti na.gob.ar/salud/crecerconsalud/lactancia](https://www.argentina.gob.ar/salud/crecerconsalud/lactancia).
- Neves, Paulo A R, Juliana S Vaz, Fatima S Maia, Philip Baker, Giovanna Gatica-Domínguez, Ellen Piwoz, Nigel Rollins, and Cesar G Victora. 2021. "Rates and Time Trends in the Consumption of Breastmilk, Formula, and Animal Milk by Children Younger than 2 Years from 2000 to 2019: Analysis of 113 Countries." *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* 5 (9): 619–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2352-4642\(21\)0 0163-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2352-4642(21)00163-2).
- Palmer, G. 1993. *The Politics of Breastfeeding*. 2nd ed. London: Pandora Press, a division of HarperCollins Publishers.
- Pérez-Escamilla, Rafael, Cecília Tomori, Sonia Hernández-Cordero, Phillip Baker, Aluisio J D Barros, France Bégin, Donna J Chapman, et al. 2023. "Breastfeeding: Crucially Important, but Increasingly Challenged in a Market-Driven World." *The Lancet* 401 (10375): 472–85. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6 736\(22\)01932-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(22)01932-8).
- Piwoz, Ellen G., and Sandra L. Huffman. 2015. "The Impact of Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes on WHO-Recommended Breastfeeding Practices." *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 36 (4): 373–86. [https://doi.org/1 0.1177/0379572115602174](https://doi.org/10.1177/0379572115602174).
- Rollins, Nigel, Ellen Piwoz, Phillip Baker, Gillian Kingston, Kopano Matlwa Mabaso, David McCoy, Paulo Augusto Ribeiro Neves, et al. 2023. "Marketing of Commercial Milk Formula: A System to Capture Parents, Communities, Science, and Policy." *The Lancet* 401 (10375): 486–502. [https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0140-6736\(22\)01931-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(22)01931-6).
- Russ, Katheryn, Phillip Baker, Michaela Byrd, Manho Kang, Rizki Nauli Siregar, Hammad Zahid, and David McCoy. 2021. "What You Don't Know About the Codex Can Hurt You: How Trade Policy Trumps Global Health Governance in Infant and Young Child Nutrition." *International Journal of Health Policy and Management* 10 (12): 983–97. [https://doi.org/10.3417 2/ijhpm.2021.109](https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.2021.109).
- Secretariat of Health of Argentina. 2019. "Executive Summary. Second National Nutrition and Health Survey (ENNyS 2)." 2019. [https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/ recurso/2deg-encuesta-nacional-de-nutricion-y-salu d-resumen-ejecutivo](https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/recurso/2deg-encuesta-nacional-de-nutricion-y-salud-resumen-ejecutivo).

- Secretariat of Regulatory Policies and Health Relations and Secretariat of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Food. 2007. "Joint Resolution 97/2007 and 301/2007." 2007. <https://www.argentina.gob.ar/normativa/nacional/resoluci%C3%B3n-301-2007-130209>.
- UNICEF. 2019. "Regional Office for Latin America and the Caribbean, 'Childhood Overweight and the Retail Environment in Latin America and the Caribbean: Synthesis Report.'"
- Victoria, Cesar G, Rajiv Bahl, Aluísio J D Barros, Giovanni V A França, Susan Horton, Julia Krasevec, Simon Murch, Mari Jeeva Sankar, Neff Walker, and Nigel C Rollins. 2016. "Breastfeeding in the 21st Century: Epidemiology, Mechanisms, and Lifelong Effect." *The Lancet* 387 (10017): 475–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(15\)01024-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(15)01024-7).
- WHO. 1981. *The International Code of Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes*. WHO: Geneva. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/40382>.
- . 2010. *Set of Recommendations on the Marketing of Foods and Non-Alcoholic Beverages to Children*. <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/44416>.
- . 2012. "A Framework for Implementing the Set of Recommendations on the Marketing of Foods and Non-Alcoholic Beverages to Children." World Health Organization. 2012. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/80148>.
- . 2016a. "Maternal, Infant and Young Child Feeding. Guidance on Ending the Inappropriate Promotion of Foods for Infants and Young Children." In *Sixty-Ninth World Health Assembly, Geneva, 23–28 May 2016. Provisional Agenda Item 12.1. Geneva. A69/7 Add 1*; http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA69/A69_7Add1-en.pdf?ua=1.
- . 2016b. "World Health Assembly. Resolution WHA69.9. Elimination of Inappropriate Marketing of Foods to Infants and Young Children." In *Sixty-Ninth World Health Assembly, Agenda Item 12.1. Geneva: World Health Organization*. https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA69/A69_R9-sp.pdf.
- . 2017. "The International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes: Frequently Asked Questions (2017 Update)." 2017.
- WHO and UNICEF. 2017. *NetCode Toolkit. Monitoring the Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes: Protocol for Periodic Assessments*. Geneva: World Health Organization.